

DD-TR-W/2

IUS SUBCOMMITTEE ON PROTECTION OF CLASSIFIED GOVERNMENT DATA

MEETING OF MEMBERS

OCTOBER 6, 1971

The IUS Subcommittee on Protection of Classified Government Data met on Wednesday, October 6, 1971, at 2:00 p.m., in Room 2011, Department of Justice. The following members and ad hoc representatives were present:

Department of Justice:

**Mr. E. Oscar Smith,
Acting Chairman**

Department of Defense:

Col. Sidney D. Rubenstein

Department of State:

Mr. Charles Tamm, Alternate

* * * * *

**Department of the Treasury
Ad Hoc Representatives:**

Mr. William Parrish

**Atomic Energy Commission
Ad Hoc Representatives:**

Mr. William G. Riley

**Central Intelligence Agency
Ad Hoc Representatives:**

[REDACTED]

STATINTL

Secretary, IUS:

**Mr. Leonard P. Newman
Miss Mary N. Apichino**

1. Executive Order 11050

The Subcommittee considered the last of suggestions dated October 10, 1951, furnished by the Secretary, DDCI, by memorandum dated October 10, 1951, which suggestions were made by DDCI members at the ICID meeting on October 9, 1951, and relate to steps ICID might take to implement the President's directive in regard to E.O. 11050.

Suggestion 1: It was agreed to revise this suggestion as follows:

"ICID might request the Agencies to submit any regulations which they may issue under this Executive Order."

The ICID will be given the following explanation for the revision: As previously noted, this step deals with "policing" of the administration of the order by ICID and the Subcommittee is not ready to take any position in that regard at this time.

Suggestion 2: The subcommittee decided to make no recommendation regarding this suggestion because of the following reasons:

1. It involves the surface rather than advice and assistance and the subcommittee is not prepared to deal with that question at this time.
2. It is repetitious.
3. There is nothing in the President's Directive that this Committee can interpret as furnishing authority for requiring such action.

Suggestion 3: It was agreed, with one dissenting vote by the Treasury Representative, to recommend to ICID that it request to all agencies that where applicable within each agency it might be advisable for the agency itself to provide specific guidance through the use of specific examples illustrating the nature of items of information which should be classified.

Suggestion 4: It was carried with two dissenting votes to recommend to ICID the following revision of this suggestion: ICID should recommend to all agencies that where applicable the agency itself should undertake an actual physical inspection of its procedures and practices.

Suggestion 5: The subcommittee decided not to recommend this suggestion because it involves a number of procedural detail which should remain the prerogative of each individual agency.

Suggestion 6: It was agreed that the Subcommittee will recommend to ICDS that it submits to the various agencies that they consider the establishment of declassification procedures.

Suggestion 7: The ICDS will be advised that it is the view of the subcommittee that the appointment of qualified persons to be in charge of the administration of the Executive Order is an inherent responsibility of each agency head and it need not be specifically recommended to each agency by the ICDS. However, the Executive Secretary of the Security Advisory Committee should be available to furnish advice and guidance in the selection of personnel whenever called upon to do so by the agencies.

Suggestion 8: All the members were agreed to this suggestion. No recommendation was made.

Suggestion 9: The subcommittee agreed to delete the first sentence and state the following regarding the remainder of the suggestion:

The subcommittee has recommended the establishment of a Security Advisory Committee with a full time Executive Secretary to provide advice and guidance to the various agencies and the subcommittee has received informal indication from the ICDS that this recommendation has been approved. It is therefore the feeling of the subcommittee that this proposal has been met. In reference to the first sentence, which the subcommittee deletes from the suggestion, it is the feeling of this Committee, as previously expressed, that it is not ready to make any recommendations to ICDS regarding the "policing system" which might be established.

Suggestion 10: It was agreed by all the members that this is a matter solely within the discretion of the ICDS.

Suggestion 11: The subcommittee agreed to inform ICDS that it is the consensus of the subcommittee that this proposal would be desirable. However, the ICDS has been overruled in the matter by SEC and the subcommittee cannot take any position on it.

Suggestion 12: The subcommittee agreed to submit to SEC that whether or not public releases should be cleared in the various agencies with the originating office rather than the security officer is within the discretion of the particular agency.

Suggestion 13: All the members were in agreement that the following statement should be made to the ICDS:

* * *

It is noted by this subcommittee that this is already required by the Executive Order in paragraph (34 (c)) of the Federal Register.

Suggestion 14: It was agreed that this is a matter which should be left to the discretion of each agency.

Suggestion 15: Concerning this item, the following conclusion was reached: The existence of ICAI should be limited to the fact that the establishment of a Security Advisory Committee with an Executive Secretary, provides the necessary machinery to meet this proposal.

Suggestion 16: The Subcommittee reported the Secretary, to inform ICAI that due to the early effective date of the Executive Order the subcommittee has not had an opportunity to examine all the various suggestions made by the press and it is suggested that the Security Advisory Board examine such suggestions.

The Secretary was instructed to inform the ICAI orally at its next meeting of the above views regarding the suggestions. Also he will inform ICAI that it is suggested by this Subcommittee that responsibility for any reviewing procedure which may be required in order to achieve uniform compliance be assigned to the Security Advisory Committee rather than to this Subcommittee.

Last Meeting

The Subcommittee agreed that it has completed its assignment concerning the Executive Order and will hold no further meetings in this regard unless directed to do so by ICAI. It was agreed that a meeting will be arranged by the Secretary in order that the minutes of meetings held on the order might be approved.